

BOMBPLEX 2030:

Nuclear Proliferation steps into high gear with the announcement of Complex 2030.

The Department of Energy (DOE) is putting forth their vision for the future of the U.S. nuclear weapons stockpile in a program called Complex 2030, now dubbed Bombplex 2030. Four sites in New Mexico are key to this proposal.

The National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA, a part of DOE) will release a Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS) that will analyze the potential risks of such activities. NNSA claims that "the SEIS will analyze the environmental impacts from the continued *transformation* of the United States' nuclear weapons complex by implementing NNSA's *vision* of the complex as it would exist in 2030, as well as *alternatives*."

Bombplex 2030 essentially seeks to replace old nukes with new and more usable nukes. They are proposing to consolidate and renovate nuclear weapons facilities that are located all around our country. The plans will lessen the number of nukes currently on hand, however, it will give the U.S. the power to build new nukes at an astonishing rate. Is this your vision of the world in 2030?

The Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty (NPT), which the U.S. ratified, is an international agreement between the haves and the have-nots of nuclear and non-nuclear States. The U.S. promised to work towards completely abolishing our nuclear weapons. How can the U.S. simultaneously support the NPT and outline a vision for the year 2030 that hinges on new nukes?

NNSA is required by law to analyze possible alternatives to the Bombplex 2030. NNSA's vision of a "transformed" stockpile is not the only alternative. **How about analyzing the alternative where the U.S. meets its NPT commitments by the year 2030, if not before? Nukes forever is not an option.**

We are at a pivotal moment in history, folks. The U.S. has a leadership opportunity to meet the goals of the NPT, setting an example for other nuclear States. Furthermore, recent events in North Korea and Iran are a prime example of the U.S.'s failed diplomacy. Do you really feel safer knowing that we have nukes and that in pursuing new nukes we are creating more enemies? It's time to do something different.

The U.S. is the only country who has used nuclear weapons in war and thus has the responsibility to take the lead in global non-proliferation. **We have the power to set forth our *vision* of a transformed world.**

The DOE *seriously* needs to hear about what you want to see in the year 2030. Please answer the following questions and email them to us at ccns@nuclearactive.org. We will submit your comments into the public record at the hearings.

What is your vision for the world in 2030?

DOE must focus their proposal on activities which would support a world where. . . These activities include. . .

What are you most afraid of if the United States steps up production of new nuclear weapons?

I would like to see the DOE analyze for the possibility of...

What would you like the DOE to be focusing their time and money on?

DOE must analyze the alternative of transforming the nuclear weapons complex into...

Name and address

Nuclear Non Proliferation Begins At Home!

Alright peeps, there are four Scoping hearings scheduled in New Mexico. We need to be seen and heard. Be there, or be lame.

- Socorro: Dec. 4, 2006, Macey Center (at New Mexico Tech), 801 Leroy Place, 6 - 10 p.m.
- Albuquerque: Dec. 5, 2006, Albuquerque Convention Center, 401 2nd St. NW, 11 a.m. - 3 p.m., 6 - 10 p.m.
- Los Alamos: Dec. 6, 2006, Mesa Public Library, 2400 Central Avenue, 10:30 a.m. - 2:30 p.m.
- Santa Fe: Dec. 6, 2006, Genoveva Chavez Community Center, 3221 Rodeo Road, 6 - 10 p.m.

Written comments can be emailed to:
Complex2030@nnsa.doe.gov or by snail mail to:

Theodore A. Wyka
Complex 2030 SEIS Document Manager
Office of Transformation
U.S. Department of Energy, NA-10.1
1000 Independence Avenue SW
Washington, DC 20585

Get the Draft SEIS:

Let DOE know that you are serious about your comments and ask for a copy of the draft SEIS of your very own. They aren't expecting many to request it and it's a concrete way of making them deal with your thoughts. Even more than our sass, this will get their attention. Send an email or letter to the address to your left requesting that a hard copy of the **full document** be sent straight to you.

For more information visit DOE's Complex 2030 website: www.Complex2030PEIS.com and www.nuclearactive.org.

This is how you do it...

The DOE will hold "scoping" hearings in eight locations across the country to hear from the public about what issues their SEIS must address. The DOE is required by law to listen to our ideas and respond to our questions.

Here are some key points in the Bombplex proposal that gross us out. Use as talking points if you choose:

Reduced Stockpile - AKA Fewer Nukes...

"Rock on DOE! Why not try complete abolition on for size? Along these lines the SEIS must analyze an alternative in which the U.S. does away with nukes by the year 2030, or sooner, and deals with the mess it made in the past. Such a transformed world is possible."

Consolidated Plutonium Center - AKA Nuke Mega Store...

"No New Bombplex! No Where! No Way!"

The production of nuclear weapons sucks for everyone. It costs ridiculous amounts of money (NNSA's weapons budget is \$6.4 billion annually). This kind of money could be used in way hipper ways, like free health care, free education and cool doodads like cars that run on air."

International Implications

The Bombplex proposal talks about meeting the changing international security threats of the 21st century, but does not talk about getting rid of these threats and completely neglects to acknowledge how U.S. policies created these threats in the first place. "The SEIS must analyze how the proposed Bombplex will create new international security threats, how the DOE can get rid of those threats and why those threats are there in the first place."

Environmental Justice

Los Alamos County is the wealthiest county in the country and is surrounded by some of the poorest. There are 14 Pueblos within a 50-mile radius of Los Alamos National Laboratory. Eww. What's wrong with this picture? "We are sick and tired of the poorest, most vulnerable people locally and internationally getting the shaft. Why doesn't DOE propose nuke activities to take place at DOE headquarters in DC? 'Nuff said."

Alternatives

"Well, you are the Department of *Energy* right? Why not transform the Bombplex into a Renewable Energyplex? We live in the middle of a desert right? It's a more than a little windy and sunny pretty much year round. Give you any ideas?"

And remember folks... **NUCLEAR NON-PROLIFERATION IS DA BOMB!**